

From: [Kari Boiter](#)
To: [Cole, Bill](#); [Council](#)
Cc: [St. John, Rich](#); [Denise Boggio](#); [Kevins computer](#); [Hannah Reno](#); [Joe Stout](#); [Jordan Webber](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] End of Term Recommendations from the Citizen Police Advisory Board
Date: Tuesday, November 25, 2025 8:14:11 PM



Dear Honorable Mayor and members of City Council,

I am writing on behalf of the Citizen Police Advisory Board (CPAB). As we draw down our collective efforts and prepare to hand over the reins to a newly-appointed Board, the CPAB wishes to make some final recommendations as you consider the work ahead.

Regarding appointment of the next iteration of CPAB members, we strongly believe that some members of the existing Board should carry over to preserve institutional knowledge and prevent the stutter stop-and-start that inhibited the progress of the existing Board. While new perspectives should always be considered, there is a steep learning curve on the issue of policing in Billings and the CPAB has a purposefully-limited scope. Re-appointing some members of the existing Board ensures a seamless transition.

The CPAB also recommends that appointments for the new Board be made before the end of 2025. Considering the language of the resolution approved by City Council in September, all future terms must end no later than December 31, 2029. Postponing appointment past December 2025 cuts into the four-year term that the new Board is limited to. This proved to be a detriment to the success of the existing CPAB.

Finally, we believe attendance at a CPAB meeting should be included in the orientation process for the incoming Mayor and new members of Council. We similarly welcome attendance from any sitting member of City Council. Our final meeting of 2025 will be held on December 18th in the Rimrock Conference Room on the 5th Floor of City Hall. We hope you can join us as we conduct regular business and briefly celebrate our shared accomplishments over the past four years.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Citizen Police Advisory Board

Kari Boiter | Denise Boggio
Kevin Holland | Hannah Reno
Joe Stout | Jordan Webber

From: [Cole, Bill](#)
To: [Janelle L; Council](#)
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Proposed zoning request Barber Farms #1074
Date: Tuesday, November 25, 2025 11:45:11 AM

Janelle:

Thank you for your correspondence, and, as you probably know, the developer has withdrawn this application for annexation and a zone change for the time being.

Many of the points that you make below were addressed during the meeting last night, but the one that I have highlighted in yellow was not.

I agree that when the Barber property is developed in the future at least some residents from that subdivision will probably end up using park amenities that were developed in Sundance Subdivision. In a perfect world, everyone would share in the cost of developing and maintaining civic infrastructure in the exact proportion that they use that infrastructure. But in this case your argument is not likely to get much sympathy in front of the Billings City Council because every day the city's extensive park system is used extensively by county residents who do not pay for either the construction or maintenance of those parks. On the other hand, the future residents of the Barber property will pay taxes to the city, either directly or indirectly, that will help defray the cost of developing and maintaining the city parks that you, your family, or your neighbors may use on a regular basis.

Bill

Bill Cole, Mayor
City of Billings, Montana
coleb@billingsmt.gov
406-294-5700

From: Janelle L <damama01@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 24, 2025 2:52 PM
To: Council <council@billingsmt.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed zoning request Barber Farms #1074



Dear City Council Members,

My name is Janelle Lende, I live in the Sundance Subdivision. I oppose the proposed zoning request #1074. This is to the east of my subdivision. The surrounding subdivisions, who live in the county, are all in opposition of this change.

I chose to live in and build my home with a 1/3 of an acre in the **COUNTY**. **Let me rephrase.** I wanted to live in the county not the city. I oppose the current plan for the following reasons.

- Significant traffic concerns along Central Avenue, especially with the 44 West Subdivision under construction. Will it take someone to pass away tragically? The infrastructure is not here. The traffic congestion and concern go all the way from Shiloh on Central Ave to 48th street West -North to Grand

Ave.

- This new proposal is not compatible with the character of surrounding residential subdivisions.
- Insufficient parks, trails, and green space; concerns that Sundance-maintained amenities may be relied upon by new residents of this **minimum of 350 housing units** under this proposal.
- Concerns of long-term neighborhood stability due to the rapid pace of development.
- Concerns regarding the aesthetics and layout of the proposal.

I appreciate your time and consideration. My hope is that the county can remain the county. However my hope is that the county& City can come up with a compromise in regards to barber proposal. Such as single family homes with minimum of 1/3 acre along the outer edges and the duplexes or higher density housing internally. Or Relocation the building else where in the city limits.

Janelle Lende